ASCO's Quality Training Program

Project Title: Improving oral chemotherapy fulfillment processes and implementation of a pharmacistmanaged oral chemotherapy follow-up program

Presenter's Name: Sonja Jacobsen, PharmD Firas Shadad, MD

Institution: Cone Health Cancer Center at Alamance Regional

Date: October 8, 2015



Institutional Overview

Cone Health Cancer Center at Alamance Regional Medical Center, Burlington, NC

- Community Hospital Comprehensive Cancer Center
- 4 Hematologists/Oncologists
- 3 Oncology Pharmacists
- 631 cases in 2014



Problem Statement

Development of oral chemotherapy agents is expanding

 Concerns regarding access and adherence to oral chemotherapy treatment have arisen

Process of initiating a patient on oral chemotherapy varies significantly among institutions

- Hospital vs. specialty pharmacy
- Delay in prescription fulfillment affects treatment adherence and potentially patient outcomes if treatment is postponed for days to weeks



Team Members

Project Sponsors: Cindy Johnson, RN, MSN, OCN; Director of Oncology Nursing, Cone Health Cancer Center

Team Leader: Firas Shadad, MD

Medical Oncologist, Cone Health Cancer Center

Team Members:

- Sonja Jacobsen, PharmD; PGY1 Pharmacy Resident, Cone Health at ARMC
- Adam Peele, PharmD, BCPS, BCOP; System Oncology Pharmacy Manager, Cone Health Cancer Center
- Chris Elder, PharmD, BCOP; Cone Health Cancer Center

QTP Improvement Coach: Amy Guthrie, MSN, ACHPN





Why Does Oral Chemotherapy Treatment Get Delayed?



Diagnostic Data

Overall patient self-reported adherence was calculated to be 92.5% mean adherence. Overall mean adherence reported from the outpatient pharmacy refill reports was 86%.



Implementation of pharmacist oral chemotherapy follow-up program produced good overall adherence rates at the Cone Health Cancer Center – GSO site.



Data source: Elder C, et al. 2014

AIM Statement

By October 1, 2015, collect and analyze data regarding oral chemotherapy fulfillment processes in order to understand the problem and get an idea of the magnitude of the problem at our site:

- Time delay (# of days) of patient started on new oral chemotherapy
- Identify reasons for delay



Process Measure

- **Measure**: Time delay from date oral chemotherapy prescription written to date patient began therapy; reasons for delay.
- **Patient population**: Adult cancer patients starting a new oral chemotherapy medication
- **Calculation methodology**: Difference in days from date oral chemotherapy prescription written to date patient began therapy
- Data source: Cone Health Cancer Center at Alamance Regional
- Data collection frequency: Daily
- Data quality (any limitations): Limited access to prescription data due to utilization of specialty pharmacies; unable to reach some patients by telephone.



Balance Measure

• Time spent:

- Tracking prescriptions
- Calling patient's for follow-up and medication counseling
- Calling other specialty pharmacies
- Cost
- Documentation





PDSA Plan (Tests of Change)

Date of PDSA cycle	Description of intervention	Results	Action steps
PDSA Cycle 1 June-July 2015	Folders placed in nursing stations for oral chemo Rx collection Resident began data collection and patient follow- up calls	Inconsistent collection of prescriptions Inconsistent data collection due to inconsistent collection of prescriptions	Staff educated to place oral chemotherapy prescriptions in folder
PDSA Cycle 2 July-Aug 2015	Oral chemotherapy data collection & patient follow- up	Inconsistent data collection/missing information if unable to contact patient	Revise data collection strategy/contact specialty pharmacies directly
PDSA Cycle 3 Aug-Sept 2015	Revised data collection and contacted specialty pharmacies directly for information	Data collection/notification of prescription status improved	Continue data collection strategy



Prioritized List of Changes (Priority/Pay-Off Matrix)

High		PDSA #2 Oral chemotherapy data collection & patient follow-up PDSA #3 Revised data collection plan and contacted specialty pharmacies directly for information
Low	PDSA #1 Folders placed in nursing stations for oral chemo Rx collection	

Ease of Implementation

Difficult

Easy



Impact

Oral Chemotherapy Agents Prescribed

Eltrombopag 1 **Ruxolitinib** 1 Imatinib 1 **Everolimus** 1 Ibrutinib Vemurafenib 1 Palbociclib Erlotinib 1 Abiraterone 1 Pomalidomide Capecitabine Sunitinib Lenalidomide 1





Avg delay (N=17): 9.06 days Longest delay: 38 days; Shortest delay: 2 days



Delay (in days) from Date Rx Written to Date Patient Began Treatment



Avg delay (N=11): 13.18 days



Avg delay (N=12, incl. pt that never received drug): 14.25 days Longest delay: 38 days; Shortest delay: 3 days

Delay Based on Oral Chemotherapy Agent Prescribed



Reason for Delay in Oral Chemo Rx Fulfillment



Conclusions

- AIM Statement met:
 - Average delay in oral chemotherapy treatment ~13 days
 - Delays mostly due to third-party processing issues and affordability
- Benefit from designated pharmacist at our site responsible for oral chemotherapy follow-up?

 \rightarrow will test in next phase



Lessons Learned

 Multiple barriers identified in oral chemotherapy prescription fulfillment which led to delays in treatment

 Pharmacists are able to provide patient education and monitoring of medication; track prescription fulfillment



Next Steps/Plan for Sustainability

- Continue to track patients started on new oral chemotherapy medication
- Begin second phase of study to assess patient adherence to oral chemotherapy:
 - Pharmacist follow-up/education with patient weekly for first 4 weeks, then monthly
 - Validate that improvements are successful
 - % adherence rates, patient and physician satisfaction rating to pharmacist-driven oral chemotherapy follow-up





Improving oral chemotherapy fulfillment processes and implementation of a pharmacist-managed oral chemotherapy follow-up program

Sonja Jacobsen, PharmD

Alamance Regional

Firas Shadad, MD; Adam Peele, PharmD, BCPS, BCOP; Chris Elder, PharmD, BCOP Cone Health Cancer Center at Alamance Regional, Burlington, NC

BACKGROUND

Oral chemotherapy has significantly increased in the treatment of patients with cancer. Of the 750 new therapies currently being tested for oncology, 30 to 35 percent are in oral form.¹ Historically, administration of chemotherapy has not been a concern as the majority of chemotherapy is administered intravenously at an infusion center. In contrast, oral chemotherapy is often delivered to the patient's home, where the patient becomes responsible for administration. As the use of oral chemotherapy has grown, concerns regarding access and adherence to treatment have arisen.

Prescriptions for oral chemotherapy can be filled in a variety of ways, often at hospital and specialty pharmacies. The process of initiating a patient on oral chemotherapy varies significantly among institutions and is largely dependent upon the ability to fill prescriptions internally versus utilizing a specialty pharmacy. A number of issues arise when involving a specialty pharmacy due to routing of the prescription, high cost, complex reimbursement, and a lack of communication between pharmacies and health care providers. Without proper coordination from all persons involved, confusion may arise resulting in delay of distribution of oral chemotherapy, non-adherence to treatment, and frustration among physicians and patients. Delay in medication delivery may potentially affect patient outcomes as treatment is postponed for days to weeks. Few published studies have focused on the complex issue of oral chemotherapy fulfillment. One study by Mancini et al. has shown that a pharmacist-managed oral chemotherapy program can successfully collaborate with patient financial advocates to prevent high rates of "nonfulfillment" (i.e. failure to obtain a prescribed medication).2

PURPOSE

A quality improvement project was created to evaluate and improve the current oral chemotherapy process at CHCC-ARMC, and to implement a pharmacist-driven oral chemotherapy program in the future. The purpose of the first phase of this study is to delineate the timeline of a patient prescribed a new oral chemotherapy agent. The second phase of the study aims to determine whether continued follow-up by a pharmacist increases patient adherence to oral chemotherapy, as well as increase patient and physician satisfaction, at CHCC-ARMC.

METHODS

METHODS

Cone Health Cancer Center at Alamance Regional is a designated Community Hospital Comprehensive Cancer Center located in Burlington, North Carolina. The center features oncology offices, radiation therapy, chemotherapy infusion area, cancer specialty clinics, pharmacy, and support services. At this time, our pharmacy does not fill prescriptions for oral chemotherapy.



The process of tracking the fulfillment of prescriptions for all patients beginning a new oral chemotherapy medication during the first phase of our study is outlined in the figure above.

- Folders were placed in each nursing station for collection of any documentation relating to oral chemotherapy prescriptions.
- A pharmacist rounded daily on the folders and tracked the time from when the prescription was written to when the patient received the medication and began therapy.
- A pharmacist conducted an interactive patient follow-up within 3 to 5 days of the patient receiving the drug from the specialty pharmacy; which included dosage, schedule, administration, possible side effects, drug interactions, and to answer any patient questions.
- Data was collected on a continual basis for each patient beginning a new oral chemotherapy medication including the drug prescribed, dosage, date prescribed, date patient began therapy, and any reasons for delay in fulfillment of the oral chemotherapy prescription.

RESULTS



CONCLUSION

Overall, areas for improvement include documentation in the patient's electronic medical record regarding oral chemotherapy, utilization of resources to develop a pharmacist navigator position for coordinating oral chemotherapy, and increased patient education and monitoring to increase adherence to oral chemotherapy. We aim to implement these improvements in the next phase of our study.

REFERENCES

 DeCardenas R, Helfrich J. Oral therapies and safety issues for oncology practices. Oncol Issues. 2010.MarchiApril:40:-42.
 Mancini R, Sondag A, Dineixer K. Impact of a pharmacist-managed oral charmotherapy program on nonfulfiliment rates. J Harmatic Oncol Pharm. 2012;2(2):42-45.

Oncer trains of using the second s