Development and Implementation of a Distress Screening and Management Process

Presenters:

Patrick Murphy, M.D. Susan Brand, R.N. Kim Scrugham TENNESSEEONCOLOGY





Institutional Overview

- Tennessee Oncology is one of the largest physician-owned oncology practices in the United States:
 - More than 90 physicians and 33 APPs at over 30 locations throughout middle and southeast Tennessee
- Provides comprehensive cancer care services including radiation oncology, imaging centers, specialty pharmacy, lab services, psychology, palliative care and clinical trials.¹
- The clinical site for the Tennessee Oncology ASCO QTP is the Franklin location.
- The Franklin office has 3 physicians and 1 nurse practitioner and sees over 3000 unique patients annually.²

¹ Clinical trials done through partnership with SCRI

² Tennessee Oncology: August 2017 NASH Distinct Patient Visits by Site and Day of Week





Definition of Distress In Cancer

Distress is a multifactorial unpleasant emotional experience of a psychological (i.e. cognitive, behavioral, emotional), social and/or spiritual nature that may interfere with the ability to cope effectively with cancer, its physical symptoms, and its treatment. Distress extends along a continuum, ranging from common normal feelings of vulnerability, sadness, and fears to problems that can become disabling, such as depression, anxiety, panic, social isolation, and existential and spiritual crisis.

NCCN guidelines on Distress Management

Distress Screening

Distress Screening	1	
Patient and Provider Inform	ation	
Patient Name	Created Date	
Patient DOB	Overall Distress	
Patient MRN	Hospitalization since last visit?	
Registered Provider		
Physical Problems		
Appearance	Getting around	
Bathing / dressing	Indigestion	
Breathing	Memory / concentration	
Changes in Urination	Mouth Sores	
Constipation	Nausea	
Diarrhea	Nose dry / congested	
Eating	Pain Pain	
Fatigue	Sexual Sexual	
Feeling Swollen	Skin dry / itchy	
Fevers	Tingling in hands and feet	
Sleep	Substance abuse	
Practical Problems		
Child care	Transportation	
Housing	Work / School	
Insurance / financial	Treatment Decisions	
Family Problems		
Dealing with children	Ability to have children	
Dealing with partner	Family health issues	
Emotional Problems		
Depression	Sadness	
Nervousness	Worry	
Fears	Loss of interest in usual activities	
Spiritual or Religious Conce	ms	
Spiritual / Religious Concerns		
PRIME MD = PHQ-2		
Past Month Down Hopeless Depressed?	Past Month Little Interest or Pleasure?	
Additional Patient Concerns		



Other Problems



Problem Statement

In the Fall 2016 QOPI abstraction, data revealed that while 97% of patients on active cancer treatment at Tennessee Oncology were being screened for emotional distress, only 51% had documented evidence of "action taken to address problems with emotional well-being by the second office visit," suggesting inadequate attention to the patients' emotional needs.





Team Members

Project Sponsor: Team Leader: Core Member: Core Member: Team Member: Team Member: Team Member: Team Member: QTP Coach:

Natalie Dickson, MD, CMO Patrick Murphy, MD Susan Brand, RN, Clinical Mgr Kim Scrugham, Front Office Mgr Jani Sarratt, PIS Cindy Fitzgerald, RN, Staff Nurse Emily Truelove, LPN Maureen Sanger, PH.D, Psychologist Valorie Harvey, RN, BSN, MBA, Parkland, Dallas





Process Map







Cause & Effect Diagram







Poor Distress Screening Intervention: Cause and Effect





Baseline Data: Major Causes for Poor Intervention

- 1. Lack of provider and staff Interest, education and/or importance (36%) Aria extraction and Chart Review: April 10 – April 21 2017 157 Events; 53 with score 4 or greater
- 2. Lack of patient involvement (26%)

Patient Factors: Sales force extraction, Structured Survey *30% didn't fill out the tablet*











Baseline Data: Major Causes for Poor Intervention

Not enough time with patients (17%)
 To be addressed with new EMR





Aim Statement

Patients at the Franklin Office of Tennessee Oncology who are actively receiving cancer treatment and have Distress Screening level greater than or equal to 4 will have documented evidence of discussion and intervention from 51% to 80% by October 2017.





Measures

- Measure: Outcome: Documentation that intervention is taking place.
- Patient population: All patients on active IV or oral chemotherapy Exclusions (if any): patients on weekly the rapy will be screened every other week.
- Calculation methodology: % of patients documented Numerator: Documentation of intervention

Denominator: Patients screened with DS scores greater than or equal to 4 and/or PHQ2 score of 1 or 2

- Data source: Chart review, EMR extraction
- Data collection frequency: Weekly
- Data quality (any limitations):
 - 1. Dedicated IT support
 - 2. Documentation of intervention by provider and MA
 - 3. Steep learning curve from new EMR





Measures

- Balance Measures
 - Providers
 - MAs
 - Patients- Young Southern Survivors (YSS)





Prioritized List of Changes (Priority/Pay –Off Matrix)

 Introduction letter to patients Front office education Incorporate DS in new patient input Patient education Incorporate DS in new patient input Insist that providers document screening-hard stop 	High לב	 Incorporate DS in MA assessment and within vital signs More time for MA Incorporate smart phrase in physician progress note 	 Doctor, nursing, MA education Nursing Involvement Incorporate automatic sales force to identify screened patients.
Fasy Difficult	Low	 Introduction letter to patients Front office education Incorporate DS in new patient input Patient education Nursing Intervention tab 	 Encourage providers to engage in distress discussion Develop referral list Insist that providers document screening-hard stop

Ease of Implementation





PDSA Plan (Test of Change)

Date of PDSA Cycle	Description of Intervention		Results	Action Steps		
Start 5.1.17	 Access current screening process Develop introduction letter for patients Educate front office, MAs and Providers 	1. 2.	Weakness of current flow realized Improved patient understanding	1. 2. 3.	Ongoing education Improve ease of work flow Increase time for MAs	
6-15-17	 OncoEMR conversion Increase in MA time with patient 	1.	Major change in work flow Non-compliance	1. 2. 3. 4.	Provider meeting Increase in Nursing education Develop smart phrase Add distress scoring to Progress note	
7-15-17	 Smart phrase in EMR Nursing Intervention tab in EMR .MA, provider education MA tab Addition of Distress Score in Progress Note 	1. 2.	Improved MA recognition and doc. Improved provider engagement	1. 2. 3.	Expansion to entire group Data collection Remove patient letter	





Distress Screening

Distress Screening	1	
Patient and Provider Inform	ation	
Patient Name	Created Date	
Patient DOB	Overall Distress	
Patient MRN	Hospitalization since last visit?	
Registered Provider		
Physical Problems		
Appearance	Getting around	
Bathing / dressing	Indigestion	
Breathing	Memory / concentration	
Changes in Urination	Mouth Sores	
Constipation	Nausea	
Diarrhea	Nose dry / congested	
Eating	Pain Pain	
Fatigue	Sexual Sexual	
Feeling Swollen	Skin dry / itchy	
Fevers	Tingling in hands and feet	
Sleep	Substance abuse	
Practical Problems		
Child care	Transportation	
Housing	Work / School	
Insurance / financial	Treatment Decisions	
Family Problems		
Dealing with children	Ability to have children	
Dealing with partner	Family health issues	
Emotional Problems		
Depression	Sadness	
Nervousness	Worry	
Fears	Loss of interest in usual activities	
Spiritual or Religious Conce	ms	
Spiritual / Religious Concerns		
PRIME MD = PHQ-2		
Past Month Down Hopeless Depressed?	Past Month Little Interest or Pleasure?	
Additional Patient Concerns		



Other Problems



Materials Developed

ONCOEMR									🔒 Franki	in 👻 🖨 P	Murphy, MD 🐱
Inbox 🖸 Search Q											
GENERAL Visit lists	Treatment Plan										
Scheduler Reports	New orders New orderset	New Flowsh	eet Appro	we Orders 👻	More ~	Show Con	pleted (2)				
New task	<=More (10) More=> (21)	Sat 09/09/2017	Mon 09/11/2017	Tue 09/12/2017	Wed 09/13/2017	Fri 09/15/2017	Mon 09/18/2017	Mon 09/25/2017	Fri 09/29/2017	Mon 10/02/2017	Tue 10/03/2017
Demographics	Hide CTCAE V4		.J.		1			. 1	.1.	L	^
Summary Documents	Constipation Hide Distress Screening		1						11.		
Treatment plan Orders	Distress Screening Hide Pain Scale	0							iw.		
Visit notes	Comparative Pain Scale Hide Depression Screening	0									
Text note	PHQ-2 Hide Vitals	0									
Care plan	BSA (M2) BMI		Add								
Collection record	Height (in) Weight (lb)										<u> </u>
Lab results Vital signs	Temp (F)	looth ins. u.S.T.	2200 05045000	00044040	m2t hallmarddi	Of an fatires	Assessments Line D	la la i		Contrast Electron	×





Materials Developed

eel enderstaft teerents in teerente een interi	History of Present Illness	Allergies/Medications	Histories	ROS	Physical Examination	Lab/Test Results	Assessment/Plan
Fax to:							
/ital Signs Vitals on 8/23/2017 10:03:00 AM: Heigh	nt=65.0in, Weight=143.4lb, Te	mp=96.31, Pulse=55, Res	p=16, SystolicE	3P=112, Di	astolicBP=64		~
ain Clear Hide							
Pain Pain Level- 0 No Pain							
Clear	-						
Pain Level- 0 (8/22/2017)						
istress Hide							
Distress =No Distress Distress Screening 0 =No	Distress						
Distress Screening 0 (8/	17/2017)						
epression							
Depression PHQ-2 2 Negative Responses							
Depression PHQ-2 2 Negative Responses PHQ-2 0 (8/17/2017)							
Depression PHQ-2 2 Negative Responses PHQ-2 0 (8/17/2017) Performance Status Hide							
Depression PHQ-2 2 Negative Responses PHQ-2 0 (8/17/2017) Performance Status Hide							
Depression PHQ-2 2 Negative Responses PHQ-2 0 (8/17/2017) Performance Status Hide							
Depression PHQ-2 2 Negative Responses PHQ-2 0 (8/17/2017) 'erformance Status Hide ECOG							
Depression PHQ-2 2 Negative Responses PHQ-2 0 (8/17/2017) Performance Status Hide ECOG							
Depression PHQ-2 2 Negative Responses PHQ-2 0 (8/17/2017) Performance Status Hide ECOG							~





Materials Developed

	ADM .						
Close Save Sign Print Fax/Print	Options - Select an Action	- •					
CC/Diagnosis/Problems Treatment Summary	History of Present Illness	Allergies/Medications	Histories	ROS	Physical Examination	Lab/Test Results	Assessment/Plan
Fax to:							
Origen Status Edit (NED) Clear NED () Stable () Partial Response () Co Assessment and Plan Edit	omplete Response O Progre	ssion of Disease 🔿 Too e	arty to evaluate	5			
Clear Distress Intervention Hide							
Distress Intervention Edit Clear							
Discussed with patient, no interventions desir Pain medications/management Bowel Regin	red at this time. Discusse nen Palliative Care Refer	d non-pharmacologic self o al 🗌 Psychology Referra	are options	Recomm Referral	nendation on symptom ma	anagement as outlined	above. 🗌
Informed Consent Edit Clear							
Treatment Informed Consent Rood Trans	fusion:						
Treatment Intent Edit Clear							





DATA: Percentage of Distress Screening Interventions



Conclusions

- Documentation of intervention improved from 50 to 92%
 - Approximately 100 screened weekly (86-114)
 - 35% positive screens weekly (25-46%)
- Comparator Hospital (STW 7 providers, twice as many screens)
 - 72% documentation
- Most improvement
 - MA education, involvement and additional time
 - Provider smart phrase.
- Provider involvement





Challenges

- Maintaining provider engagement
- Increasing screening to all cancer patients.
- Expansion Throughout the Practice
- Improvement in Outcomes
 - Satisfaction surveys
 - % referred
 - Focus group





Plan for Sustainability

- Permanent Standing Committee
- Establish criteria to determining patient satisfaction
- Establish standard data collection for internal, QOPI and OCM requirements
- Review Data monthly and provide feedback to clinical staff
- Determine how to involve nursing
- Develop referral lists for each office



